# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FFB 2 7 2006

# Howard Eisenberg, Esq.

PATENT ATTORNEY
2206 Applewood Court
Perkasie, Pennsylvania 18944
(215) 453-9237
Fax: (215) 701-0803
patents@howardeisenberg.com

# **FAX COVER SHEET**

FAX NUMBER TRANSMITTED TO: (571) 273-8300

To:

US Patent and Trademark Office

From:

Howard Eisenberg

Serial No.:

09/614,790

Inventor(s):

Sharon F. Kleyne

Title:

METHOD AND KIT FOR MOISTURIZING THE SURFACE OF THE EYE

Filed:

July 12, 2000

Atty No.: Date: HME/7982.0001 February 27, 2006

DOCUMENTS	NUMBER OF PAGES*	1
Transmittal Form	One	•
Fee Transmittal Form	One	i
Credit Card Payment Form (\$250)	One	•
Notice of Appeal	One	
Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	One	İ
Reasons for Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	Five	İ

<sup>\*</sup> NOT COUNTING COVER SHEET. IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE TELEPHONE US IMMEDIATELY AT (215) 453-9237.

FEB 2 7 2006

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE inder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persona are required to rescond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/614.720 TRANSMITTAL Filing Dale July 12, 2000 First Named Inventor FORM Sharon F. Kleyne Art Unit Examiner Name Wang, Shangjun (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number HME/7982.0001 Total Number of Pages in This Submission ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC ✓ Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board **|** Fee Allached Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Pelition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify Extension of Time Request Terminal Disclaimer below): Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review (1 pg) Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request with reasons for requested review (5 pgs) CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Carified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1 52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Howard Eisenberg, Esq. Signature Printed name Howard Eisenberg Date Reg. No. February 27, 2006 36,789 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Date February 27, 2006 Howard Eisenberg Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U S C 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, prepaning, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U S Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

if you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

FEB 2 7 2006

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0332
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Pape	work Reduction Act of 1	995 no persons are	required to r	espond to a collecti	on of Informa	allon unless it dis	plays a valid OMB control number			
Effective on 12/08/2004				Complete if Known						
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H R 4818)			Application Nu	ion Number 09/614.		· ·				
FEE TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	J	uly 12, 2000					
For FY 2005			First Named In	ventor S	Sharon F. Kleyne					
Applicant claims small onlits alolus. Soc 27 OFD 1 27				Examiner Nam	ninar Name Wang, Shengjun					
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				Arl Unit	1	1617				
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 250				Attorney Dock	et No. H	HME/7982.001				
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)										
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please (denuty)):										
Deposit A	Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-1773 Deposit Account Name: Howard Eisenberg									
For the :	For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)									
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee										
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s)										
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card										
information and a	uthorization on PTQ-20	38.								
FEE CALCUL										
1. BASIC FILI	NG, SEARCH, ANI									
		3 FEES Small Entity	SEAR	CH FEES Small Entity	EXAMI	NATION FEE Small Entity				
Application		Fee (\$)	Fee (\$		Fee (\$	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (5)			
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100				
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65				
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80				
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300				
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0				
2. EXCESS C						Eac (C)	Small Entity			
Fee Descript Each claim	over 20 (including	Reissues)				<u>Fee (\$)</u> 50	Fee (\$) 25			
Each indep	endent claim over 3		issucs)			200	100			
	pendent claims					360	180			
<u>Total Claims</u> 9	<u>Extra Cla</u> 20 or 43 =()		Fee	Paid (S)		·	Dependent Claims			
HP = highest nu	20 or 43 = <u>0</u> mber of lotal claims paid	for, if greater than .	<del> =</del>	0		<u>Fee (\$)</u>	Fee Paid (\$)			
Indep. Claims	Extra Cla	ims Fee (S		Paid (\$)						
	or 5 = 0 nber of independent clair	x100 ms paid for, if great	= er than 3.	0						
3. APPLICATI	ON SIZE FEE	· · · · · ·								
If the specific	ation and drawings	exceed 100 sh	eets of par	er (excluding	electronic	ally filed seq	uence or computer			
						mali entity) i	for each additional 50			
Total Shee	sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).  Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)									
	100 = / 50 = (round up to a whole number) x =									
4. OTHER FEE(S)  Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)  Fees Paid (S)										
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Notice of Appeal 250										
UBMITTED BY										
ignature	16-nes		F	Registration No.	36,789	Telep	hone (215) 453-9237			
				UMPHILL AND THE PROPERTY OF TH		1	, : <u>-/ :-</u>			

Name (Print/Type) Howard Eisenberg

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1 138. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including settle-ring, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

**2**006/011

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)

FFB 2 7 2006

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

Registration number if ecting under 37 CFR 1.34

"Total of \_

Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below".

forms are submitted.

Approved for use through xxxxxxxxx Office; U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. OEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Docket Number (Optional) PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW Application Number I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall 7/12/2000 in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] FEBRUARY SHURON Signature Typed or printed WANG SHENGJUN name \_ Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal, The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. DULKO CISENBERC See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) Typed or printed name attorney or agent of record. Registration number \_ attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form anc/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2

NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or essignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are regulred.

FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

**2**1007/011

FEB 2 7 2006

Atty Doc. No. HME/7982.001

الأشت المستحديد

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### PATENT APPLICATION EXAMINING OPERATIONS

In re the Application of

Sharon F. Kleyne

Group Art Unit: 1617

Scrial No. 09/614,790

Examiner: Shengjun Wang

Filed: July 12, 2000

Tel. No. (571) 272-0632

For a Patent for

Date: February 27, 2006

METHOD AND KIT FOR MOISTURIZING

THE SURFACE OF THE EYE

## REASONS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir,

In conjunction with the submission of a Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review,

Applicant submits the following reasons for request for review.

#### REMARKS

Claims 90-93 and 97-101 have been finally rejected in an Office Action mailed on November 30, 2005. As called for in independent claim 90, each of the rejected claims calls for a method for moisturizing the eye, which method comprises (1) spraying a mist consisting essentially of droplets of water (2) having an average diameter between 5 and 150 microns on the surface of the eye of a subject in need thereof, (3) wherein the amount of water that is sprayed on

the eye is (3a) sufficient to hydrate the aqueous layer of the tear film on the eye of the subject but is (3b) below that which will wash away the tear film, and (4) wherein the mist is sprayed from a device comprising a (4a) sealed container, (4b) water within said container, and (4c) an actuator for spraying a mist of water from said container, and (5) wherein the water is sprayed on the surface of the eye within a period of 10 seconds.

The Examiner has rejected all pending claims as being obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the combined disclosures of Junkel, U.S. Patent No. 5,620,633; Hahn, U.S. Patent No. 5,893,515; Hutson, U.S. Patent No. 5,588,564, and Embleton et al (WO 97/23177).

Applicant submits that the Examiner has failed to make a prima facie case of obviousness.

Applicant has presented arguments that refute the finding of obviousness in an Amendment filed on September 6, 2005. The prior art, taken individually or in combination, does not suggest the features of the present invention.

The primary reference, Junkel, U.S. Patent No. 5,620,633, has nothing to do with moisturizing the eye but rather discloses a misting device for cooling the body of one suffering from heat or dehydration due to sun exposure or sweating due to athletic endeavors. Junkel does not disclose applying the mist to the face or to a subject in need of moisturizing the eye.

Hahn, U.S. Patent No. 5,893,515 discloses an apparatus for applying a mist of a comfort liquid or drug to the eye. Hahn does not disclose administering water to the surface of the eye. Rather, Hahn refers to moistening liquids, comfort liquids, eye drop liquids, or liquid medium. Applicant has previously submitted two Declarations, one by Dr. Rachael Garrett on December 18, 2001, who testified that "Artificial tears, dry eye therapies, and comfort drops

contain ingredients other than water that are essential for their beneficial effects", and one by Dr. Philip Paden on January 21, 2004, who testified that the use of water as a moisturizing agent for the eye represents a significant departure from previously accepted doctrines in the field of ophthalmology.

Additionally, Hahn discloses that the amount of comfort liquid that is to be administered to the eye is "a sufficient amount of comfort liquid or drug to saturate the eye without overflowing - about one drop." Applicant submits that this is a teaching away from the present invention, which calls for an amount that will not wash away the tear film of the eye. As disclosed in the present specification on page 2, a drop has a volume of about 20 to 25 µl which is sufficient to flood the eye and to wash away the tear film and replace it with the fluid that comprises the drop. This flooding the eye and washing away the tear film occurs even without overflowing the eye. Thus, the volume prescribed by Hahn is in direct contrast to that called for in the present claims.

Hutson, U.S. Patent No. 5,588,564, discloses an apparatus for providing a mist of a liquid to the surface of the eye. Hutson, like Hahn, does not disclose the administration of water as a moisturizing agent for the surface of the eye. Rather, Hutson discloses the delivery of "a fluid" (see Abstract, and column 2, line 6), an "eye wash solution" (see column 1, line 51), or "an eye solution mist" (see column 1, line 65). Additionally, Hutson is silent as to the volume of liquid that is dispensed to the eye.

Embleton et al (WO 97/23177) has been discussed in several past amendments filed on July 9, 2001, January 9, 2002, July 25, 2002, and December 9, 2004. Embleton teaches away from the present invention which calls for spraying a mist as Embleton discloses the

necessity of administering the fluid as a jet or stream. Further, Embleton does not disclose the administration of water. As argued by Applicant and as testified to by Dr. Rachael Garrett in her Declaration filed on December 18, 2001, the disclosure cited by this Examiner and the previous Examiner does not refer to administration of water, but rather to administration of a treatment fluid based on water.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the prior art does not disclose or suggest the present invention and that the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

In addition, Applicant submits that, even if the Examiner has established prima facie obviousness of the claimed invention based on the prior art, Applicant has overcome this basis of rejection by the submission of numerous Declarations. The following Declarations have been filed which, it is submitted, are sufficient to overcome a finding of prima facie obviousness

Declaration by Dr. Philip Paden, submitted January 30, 2004, testifying that the present invention is a departure from previous accepted doctrine in ophthalmology.

Declaration by Dr. William Mathers, submitted January 30, 2004, testifying regarding unexpected advantageous properties obtained by the method of the invention.

Declaration by Dr. Darwin Liao, submitted January 30, 2004, testifying regarding unexpected advantageous properties obtained by the method of the invention.

Second Declaration by Dr. William Mathers, submitted May 10, 2005, testifying that the invention addresses and solves a long-standing unresolved problem pertaining to the treatment of patients with symptoms due to dry eyes.

Applicant also has submitted, with the Amendment filed on January 30, 2004, an article in Exchange & Commissary News, 43(1):6 (January 15, 2004) that discloses that the U.S. military is using a commercial version of the invention and is supplying it to troops in Iraq who have found it to be superior to other products in moisturizing their eyes in that harsh desert climate. This establishes the commercial success of the invention and acceptance of the product embodying the invention by the marketplace.

Applicant submits, accordingly, that even if the prior art establishes prima facie obviousness of the invention, Applicant has submitted evidence sufficient to overcome such prima facie obviousness.

#### Conclusion

Applicant submits that the Examiner has failed to establish a case of prima facie obviousness of the present claims and, if the Examiner has established prima facie obviousness, evidence has been submitted that is sufficient to rebut the finding of prima facie obviousness.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard Eisenberg Reg. No. 36,789

2206 Applewood Court

Perkasie, PA 18944

(215) 453-9237

Attorney for Applicant

#### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (571) 273-8300 or is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 27, 2006.

Dated: February 27, 2006

Howard M. Eisenberg